## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE . United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/801,846	03/15/2004	Yoichi Sakamoto	CFA00062US	3113		
34904 CANON U.S.A	7590 06/13/2007 A. INC. INTELLECTUAL	EXAM	EXAMINER			
15975 ALTON	PARKWAY	BRINICH, STEPHEN M				
IRVINE, CA 9	2618-3731		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER		
· .	;		2625			
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/13/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10801846	3/15/04	SAKAMOTO, YOICHI	CFA00062US

CANON U.S.A. INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION 15975 ALTON PARKWAY IRVINE, CA 92618-3731

EXAMINER

Stephen M. Brinich

ART UNIT PAPER
2625 20070608

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/801,846		SAKAMOTO, YOICHI			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Stephen M. E	rinich	2625			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 3	ROM THE MAILING DA der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory period veloperiod for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will ex cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tirr pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status							
1) Responsive to commur	nication(s) filed on	•					
2a) This action is FINAL.		– action is non-	final.				
3) Since this application is	in condition for allowar	nce except for	formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
closed in accordance w	rith the practice under E	x parte Quay	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-7</u> is/	are rejected.	,					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4 and 8</u> is/ar	e objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are sub	ject to restriction and/or	r election requ	irement.				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is obje	cted to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request	t that any objection to the	drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8		4)	☐ Interview Summary				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s</li> </ul>		5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/15/05.	,, t. 10,00,00)	•	Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/801,846

Art Unit: 2625

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 5-6 are drawn to functional descriptive material NOT claimed as residing on a computer readable medium. MPEP 2106.IV.B.1(a) (Functional Descriptive Material) states:

Data structures not claimed as embodied in a computerreadable medium are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer.

Such claimed data structures do not define any structural or functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized.

Claims 5-6, while defining a computer program, does not define a "computer-readable medium" and is thus non-statutory for that reason. A computer program can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person. The examiner suggests amending the

Art Unit: 2625

claim to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" (e.g. "a computer-readable medium storing a computer program...") in order to make the claim statutory.

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with the data structure defines structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory." - MPEP 2106.IV.B.1(a)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5, & 7, insofar as claim 5 is understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mastubara et al (US 6130685).

Re claims 1, 3, 5, & 7, insofar as claim 5 is understood,

Matsubara et al discloses (column 8, lines 30-37) a method and

means for estimating the order in which image data blocks for a

plurality of color planes are to be output to a printer (i.e.

cyan before yellow, or yellow before cyan, depending on the

Art Unit: 2625

current printhead direction), and outputting the image data blocks in the estimated order.

Further re claim 5, insofar as it is understood, Matsubara et al discloses (Figure 3) the use of a computer executing a stored program to implement this process.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2, 4, & 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claim 6, insofar as it is understood would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 USC §101 set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The art of record does not teach or suggest the recited selective transfer or non-transfer of image blocks based on a transfer-enable signal in conjunction with the recited estimating of the order in which image data blocks for a plurality of color planes are to be output to a printer and outputting the image data blocks in the estimated order.

Art Unit: 2625

### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Turnbull et al, Odagiri et al, and Imamura et al disclose examples of sequential printing of colors.

9. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application or proceeding or any inquiry of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 571-272-1000.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 8:00-5:30, alternate Fridays off.

The examiner's unit designation has been changed from "Art Unit 2624" to "Technology Division 2625" (as of March 20, 2006).

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300 (as of July 15, 2005).

Application/Control Number: 10/801,846 Page 6

Art Unit: 2625

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Stephen M Brinich

Examiner

Technology Division 2625

smb

June 11, 2007